

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

10 PHILLIP O. EMIABATA,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 BANK OF NEW YORK, et al.,

14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C18-1571 MJP

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

15  
16 On December 17, 2018, this Court filed an Order to Show Cause re: Dismissal in which it  
17 outlined its “grave doubts about whether subject matter jurisdiction exists [in this matter] and,  
18 even if that were the case, whether venue is proper in this Court.” (Dkt. No. 8.) Plaintiff was  
19 ordered to respond by January 7, 2019 regarding why the matter should not be dismissed for lack  
20 of subject matter jurisdiction, lack of personal jurisdiction, and improper venue. (Id.) On  
21 January 7, 2019, the Court received Plaintiff’s Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to  
22 Order to Show Cause (Dkt. No. 10); that request was granted and Plaintiff was given until  
23 January 28, 2019 to file his response to the OSC. (Dkt. No. 11.)  
24

1 The deadline for Plaintiff's response has come and gone. The Court has received nothing  
2 from him indicating how the Court has jurisdiction over his matter, or why venue is proper in  
3 this District. In the absence of any response, the Court is left with no alternative.

4 IT IS ORDERED that the above-entitled matter is DISMISSED for lack of subject matter  
5 jurisdiction, personal jurisdiction and on further grounds that venue is not proper in this District.

6 The Clerk's Office is directed to mail a copy of this Order by certified mail (return  
7 receipt requested) to Appellant.

8 Dated this 21st day of February, 2019.  
9

10  
11 

12 Marsha J. Pechman  
13 United States Senior District Judge  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24